



CONFIRMATION OF DEFENCE OF RESEARCH PROPOSAL

BAHAGIAN I (Diisi oleh pelajar dengan menggunakan HURUF BESAR)

SECTION I (To be filled by student in CAPITAL LETTERS)

Name: _____

Student ID: | _____

Program /Code: _____ -

Research Title: _____

SECTION II (To be filled up by the assessor)

NO	ASPECT OF ASSESSMENT	MARKS (M) (please circle the appropriate mark for each section)					WEIGHTED MARKS OBTAINED (%)
1.	Title of Research (5%)	1	2	3	4	5	(= <i>M</i> x 1)
		No reflection of research	Minimal reflection of research	Moderate reflection of research	Clear reflection of research	Very clear reflection of research	
		Comments : 					

2.	Problem Statement (20%) a. Analysis of the problem (10%)						(=M x 2)
		1	2	3	4	5	
		No analysis of problem	Minimal analysis of problem	Moderate analysis of problem	Clear analysis of problem	Very clear analysis of problem	
		Comments : 					
	b. Rationale and justification for research gap (10%)	1	2	3	4	5	(=M x 2)
		No rationale and justification	Little rationale and justification	Moderate rationale and justification	Clear rationale and justification	Very clear rationale and justification	
		Comments : 					

IGS / RSCH / DRP_ASSESS / 2013

1007/ACOM/BNP/PROCESSES/2019							
3.	Research Objectives / Research Questions (20%)	1	2	3	4	5	(=M x 4)
		Research objectives and questions are not described	Research objectives and questions are described but not clear	Research objectives and questions are described but moderately clear	Research objectives and questions are described clearly	Research objectives and questions are described very clearly	
		Comments : 					
4.	Literature Review / Hypothesis Development / Conceptual Framework (20%)						
		1	2	3	4	5	
	a. Able to organise different bodies of knowledge logically (10%)	Unable to logically organise bodies of knowledge	Some evidence of well organised / logical bodies of knowledge	Moderate evidence of well organised / logical bodies of knowledge	Good evidence of well organised / logical bodies of knowledge	Very good evidence of well organised / logical bodies of knowledge	(=M x 2)
		Comments : 					
		b. Proposed research	1	2	3	4	

	framework / Academic construct (10%)	Absent of research framework / academic construct Vague / Unclear research framework / academic construct Moderately clear research framework / academic construct Clear research framework / academic construct Very clear research framework / academic construct					(=M x 2)
		Comments : 					
5.	Research Methodology / Research Design (20%) • Method of data collection and analysis • Sampling design / • Procedure / technique / experimental setup	1 Absence of data collection method / Highly inaccurate choice of sampling design / No procedures or techniques or experimental setup	2 Unclear data collection method / Inaccurate choice of sampling design / Unclear procedures or techniques or experimental setup	3 Moderately clear data collection method / Moderately inaccurate choice of sampling design / Moderately clear procedures or techniques or experimental setup	4 Clear data collection method / Accurate choice of sampling design / Clear procedures or techniques or experimental setup	5 Very clear data collection method / Highly accurate choice of sampling design / Very clear procedures or techniques or experimental setup	(=M x 4)
		Comments : 					
6.	Significance / Applied Value of the Research (15%)	1 No significance of study	2 Vague significance of study	3 Moderately clear significance of study	4 Clear significance of study	5 Very clear significance of study	(=M x 3)
		Comments : 					
TOTAL MARK (%)							

OUTCOME OF THE DEFENCE RESEARCH PROPOSAL

Sila tandakan (/) satu daripada di bawah:

Please tick (/) one of the following:

TOTAL MARK	RANKING		Interpretation
80 – 100	1		Proposal accepted without amendments. Student can proceed.
60 – 79	2		Proposal accepted with minimal amendments. Proposal with amendments as recommended by the panel of assessors must be submitted to and verified by the Faculty within one month of the date of DRP. Student can then proceed.
40 – 59	3		Major amendments. Student is required to resubmit the amended proposal and present again at the Faculty.
< 40	4		Proposal rejected. Student is required to prepare a new proposal and present again at the Faculty.

Name of Assessor :

Faculty :

Signature :

Date :